App. F. IX-4

1926.

(V.P)

File No. 5-26

Previous References
VPS-2688/.

Serial Nos. 2-4

Later References
V.P.5-26 (5-6

Preserve

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.
P-14
E

Part B for 1928

SUBJECT

Appointment of a Panchayat Inspector
to Yelamalur Jahgir

4 To, The Revenue Commr the Comptroller
the D.C. Mysore and the Agent
No. L 8686-9/ dt 13.6.28

Sanctions the appointment
as laid before the assn.

3.7.28

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read

Correspondence ending with letter No.C.4223 dated the 19th May 1928 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, recommending sanction to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District for the appointment of a combined clerk and Panchayet Inspector on Rs.40/- per mensem for the Yelandur Jahagir.

ORDER NO. 4. 8686- 9/V.P.5-26-4

Dated, Bangalore, the 12th June 1928.

The Revenue Commissioner who was consulted in this Office letter No.4.9514/V.P.5-26-3 dated the 21st March 1928 whether it would not be better to entrust the Village Panchayet work to the sheikdar of the Yelandur Taluk on payment of a small allowance has reported that the so called sheikdars of Yelandur are -- unqualified men and that it is not desirable to entrust the -- supervision of Panchayets to them.

Government are therefore pleased to sanction the appointment of a combined clerk and Village Panchayet Inspector for the --- Yelandur Taluk as proposed as a purely tentative measure to - attend to the Village Panchayet work. He will work under the orders of the Amildar as may be directed by the Deputy Commissioner and submit reports and returns to the said Officer.

For Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, THROUGH the FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT.
The Agent, Yalandur Jahagir.

K.M.H.S.
/6.

G.R..S VI G 34]

12

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192

Date of issue 12/13-6-1928

Signed by Mr J K S J

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section VP

File No. 5-26

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— Correspondence ending with letter no C-4228 dy 19.5.1928 from the Rev Commission in Mysore recommending sanction to the proposal of the Dy Comm. Mysore Dt for the appointment of a Combined Clerk ~~on Rs~~ and Panchayet Inspector on Rs 40/- p.m. for the Yelandur Jahagir

Order Thereon No. L 8686-9/Dy 12-6/28

The Rev Commissioner who was consulted in his office letter no L 5814/V.P. 5-26-3 dy 21.3.28 whether it would not be better to entrust the V.P. work to the Sheristadar of the

Zelandrs Tk ~~give him~~ on payment
a small allowance ~~to him~~
~~of opinion~~ has reported that
the Sh.p. called Sheikdars
of Zelandrs Tk are unqualified
men and ~~of opinion~~
wardis not desirable
to entrust the supervision
of Panchayets to them

Govt are therefore
to sanction
pleased, the appointment
of a combined clerk and
V.P. Inspector, for the Zelandrs Tk, as proposed
~~tentative~~ as a purely tentative
measure:

to [illegible] Village Panchayet work - He will
work under the orders
of the Sanitary Commissioner
with Lucknow & the
said appeal

W/3/6 Chief Secy to Govt

To
The Rev Com
The D.C. Bijnor
The Comptroller Revl Deptt
The Agent Zelandrs Sahaspr

List of enclosures.

Ch. 1/6

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GDB—1,000,60—21-12-82

11

Letter No.A.2616/26 dated 26th April 1928, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Subject:-- Panchayet Inspector for Yelandur Jahagir.

With reference to your Memo No.C.4228/27-28 dated 17th April 1928 forwarding a copy of the Chief Secretary's letter No.L. 5814/V.P. 5-26-3 dated 21st March 1928, and requesting a report in the matter, I have the honour to state that the so called Sheikdars of Yelandur Taluk are unqualified and it is not desirable in my opinion to entrust the Supervision of the Panchayets to them, and it is desirable to entrust the work to a wholetime Government official.

" C o p y ".

For Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

No. C. 4228/27-28.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore,.....17th....May..... .....192 8

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L.5814/V.P.5-26-3 dated the 21st March 1928 re the appointment of a combined clerk and Village Panchayet Inspector on Rs.40/- for Yelandur Jahagir, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, ha reported in his letter No.A.2616/26 dated 26th April 1928 (copy enclosed), that the so called Sheikdars of Yelandur Jahagir are unqualified men and that it is not therefore desirable to entrust the supervision of Panchayets to them but that it may be entrusted to a whole-time Government official. I agree with him and solicit early orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant

Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

L. 5814/. 9 P 5-26-"D
To 21-3-1928

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to your letter No.3271 dated 11th Jany. 1928 recommending the appointment of a combined clerk and Panchayet Inspector on Rs.40/- per mensem for the Yelandur Jahagir. When the question of continuing the appointment of Panchayet Inspectors was discussed at the meeting of Officers held on the 29th February 1928, the general sense of the meeting was that the posts of these inspectors should be abolished and their work given to the Revenue Inspections (Shekdars) of the respective Hoblies. In view of this, I am to enquire whether a similar arrangement may not be made in Yelandur Taluk also, if the Jahagirdar is agreeable. It may perhaps be necessary to give a small allowance to the Shekdars and ~~to pay~~ also to one of the officials of the Taluk Office ~~also~~. I am to request that you will kindly consult the Jahagirdar and submit your revised proposals in the matter.

I have, etc.,

Chief Secretary to Govt.

MRN

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

NO. C.3271/26-27.

Dated Bangalore 9th January 1928.

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to invite kind reference to my letter No.C.3271/26-27 dated 11th March 1927 re the appointment of a Village Panchayet Inspector for the Yelandur Jahagir and to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has now solicited sanction to the appointment of a combined clerk and Panchayet Inspector on Rs.40/- per mensem. I therefore request that this modified proposal may kindly be sanctioned very early.

I have the honour to be

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 5 of 192 6

Serial No.	
1	Draft Submitted (Agreed)
	Approved
	H-Comm. 2181 dt 12.6.28 — Re G.O. is according to Financial Secy's Opinion Draft Standing.
	Communicated
4	L 8686-9 dt 13.6.28 Re Rev Com Re Empowering Re D.C. Bijnor Re Agrs passed Sanctions the proposal as a tentative measure

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28.

C No. 9

1564

6

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

UP File No. 5 of 1926

Serial No.

to this office letter dy. 21st m 1928.

The Rev. Commissioner is of opinion that the work of supervision of Village Panchya in the Zeldari Jahagir may be entrusted to a whole time Govt official as Sheristadar of the Jahgir an un-qualified man

Ck 21/5

CH Rey 27/5

CHIEF SECRETARY 22 MAY 1928

3661

The R.'s proposal may I. Must be sanctioned for 2 years, for reasons explained

Asst Member 25/5

Mr. Dev Rey

I have no objection to the above proposal, provided the Govt official is expected to work under the orders of a Govt official and his subordinate Hindi and returns to the latter.

The Jahgirdar may be told that the arrangement is purely tentative and that if [illegible] Govt [illegible] and [illegible] Hindi and V.P. Hqrs [illegible]

1005 31/5

Japet 31/5

Note—Contn. Sheet.

D 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28.

29-8

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 5 of 1926

Serial No.	
3	L 5814 dt 21/3/28 To The Rev Commr in Mysore calls for ~~his views~~ revised proposals regarding the appointment of Sheikdars as V. P. Inspectors in the Zelandar jahgir as well as in other places.
Sus	
P.W.D	Letter no 4282 dt 19.5.28 from the Rev Commissioner in Mysore forwarding a copy of the D letter from the D.C. Mysore reporting that the so called Sheikdars of the Zelandar Jahgir are unqualified men and it is not therefore desirable to entrust them with the work of the Supervision of Village Panchayats and stating that he would agree with the opinion of the D.C. to entrust the work to a Whitehouse post Officer The Revenue Commissioner's letter is submitted with reference for orders.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

32257 4

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 5 of 1926.

Serial No.

7434/10/3

in the Jaghirs. A draft order has been submitted separately. The abolition of mohatarfa has reduced the Jaghir areas to the position of Ryot villages so far as Village Panchayets are concerned and in order that Village Panchayets may be constituted and the taxes under the V.P Regn may be levied, it appears necessary that some Supervising authority as the one proposed by the Rev. Comr has to be appointed early. Provision for this will have to be made in the new Jaghirs Regn

8/3/28. First Member) 10/3

Chief Secy with Compts for remarks (Please see my note dt 15-8-27 in buried file.)

11/3

7678/17/3

After personal discussion with 1st Member, draft letter to Rc is put up for Commn.

1st Member 17/3

17/3/28

Issued 18/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. VP File No. 57 of 1926

3225

Serial No.

Submitted with reference to para 5 of the notes.

No decision has yet been arrived at.

Ch 14/2 [illegible] orders will issue re the right to Mohatampa. [illegible]. May be perhaps as soon orders issue

1 23 10/2

Copies.

~~A Copy~~ of the order ~~is put up~~ and also of the Note is put up below.

Ch 1/3

It has been decided that mohatampa in gaphir and inam villages also should go and orders have already issued. The Govt have also decided that Sirkar and Rey cesses in Yelandur and Sirkar cess in Sringeri be levied from the gaphirdars, and that the usual percentages out of the one anna local cess should be set apart in the gaphirs for Education purposes and that the Govt should take over the entire responsibility for Education

N+S

Mr D.S. to furnish before one year the note on the working of the scheme for the benefit of the present holders

M 8/3

MWDS.

Recd 8/3/26

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. VP File No. 51 of 1926

Serial No.

Q Mr Jagdishar's representation is still under consideration. His (her) representation for this of Govt Agency is being considered. Govt say has pronounced the levy of the cess is legal; the present question has perhaps still to await decision on the claim. Points

17/1. Has a decision been arrived on the file regarding the powers of the Legislature which was being dealt with by the Posts Branch when this question was last considered?

24/1

6 Bo Br may perhaps be requested to note about this file

23/1/28 For perusing notes 24/1

Posts Branch

A// No decision has yet been arrived at.

25/1

Where is this file?

26/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet 26/1/28 Subd. The file was under submission when now been linked herewith for favour of perusal.

11) Pl. note return to L.S. Br. after perusal. 11/2

8/2/28

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

37442

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. VP File No. 5 of 1926

Serial No.	
2	Letter no C. 3271 d 11/1/28. from the Rev Com requesting sanction to the appointment of a Panchayat Inspector on Rs 40/- p.m. to the Zelandum Jahgir.
	The previous proposal was for a V.P Inspector on Rs 40 p.m. The question was then allowed to lie over an account of the disposal of certain proposals under Consideration in the Law Sett relating to the Jahgir
	The present proposal is to a Combined clerk an Inspector on Rs 40 p.m.
	3 The Pro Br may perhaps be requested to note whether the ~~question~~ proposals said to be pending (referred to in para 13 of the note in the file placed below) were disposed of
	Ch. 4 The question seems right
	16/1/28

Collection papers

NOTE—Contn. sheet